

Dale C. Moss, Classical Homeopath

89 Ashfield Road

Shelburne Falls, MA 01370

(413) 625-9339

July 11, 2022.

The other day, while watching “The Knick” with my husband, I saw something that pretty much encapsulates what we’re facing here. The Knickerbocker Hospital had just acquired the newfangled X-ray machine, and the seller was eagerly encouraging the nurses to take pictures of themselves. No lead aprons, no distancing, no precautions for either the subject or the operator.

Then, as now, we tend to presume that what we can’t see is harmless. It isn’t.

Back in 2011, WHO’s International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radio-frequency EMF as possibly carcinogenic in humans. [[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/646172/EPRS_BRI\(2020\)646172_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/646172/EPRS_BRI(2020)646172_EN.pdf)] So, too, has the WHO classified the herbicide glyphosate, a classification that recent court decisions have upheld with hefty damages and penalties.

When mobile phones became widely available, the homeopathic community started seeing weird headaches, one-sided stiff necks, and flu-like symptoms in people who didn’t have the flu. We also saw memory and speech problems, a generally revved up nervous system, and heightened impatience and irritation, among other symptoms. The best treatment turned out to be deep-sixing one’s mobile phone.

EMF exposure not only carries an elevated risk of cancer, genetic damage, learning and memory deficits, and neurological disorders to humans, it also harms the environment. The European Environment Agency advocates following the precautionary principle with regard to EMF, and a growing number of scientists and medical doctors in Europe have signed an appeal for a moratorium on the deployment of 5G until potential hazards for human health and the environment have been fully investigated by scientists not on the telecommunications payroll.

New exposure limits for 5G are necessary because of the dense and continuous nature of that exposure. These “should be based on the biological effects of EMF radiation, rather than on the energy-based specific absorption rate.” [[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/646172/EPRS_BRI\(2020\)646172_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/646172/EPRS_BRI(2020)646172_EN.pdf)] Owing to a relative lack of potency, non-ionizing radiation, which includes radiation from mobile phones and 5G, is perceived as harmless — as X-rays were back in the early 20th century. But with 5G the issue is not potency but pulse, for 5G carries a very high level of pulsation. Pulsed EMF tends to be more biologically active and therefore more dangerous than non-pulsed EMF. A 5G signal may be weak in terms of power, but its

constant pulse radiation can have an effect and is likely to increase the health impacts of exposure, including DNA damage.

That weakness in power creates another problem: to work, 5G needs multiple towers. It will become impossible for anyone to avoid exposure to this new EMF. "For the first time, 5G will use millimeter waves in addition to the microwaves that have been used to date in 2G, 3G and 4G technology....[T]o implement 5G, cell antennas will have to be installed very close to one another, which will result in constant exposure of the population to millimeter wave radiation. Use of 5G will also require new technologies to be employed, such as active antennas capable of beam-forming.... With higher frequencies and shortened ranges, base stations will be more closely packed into an area to provide complete coverage and avoid 'not-spots'." [[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/646172/EPRS_BRI\(2020\)646172_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/646172/EPRS_BRI(2020)646172_EN.pdf)]

Sincerely,

Dale C. Moss